



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

INVENTOR(S) : Stuart A. Schweid

TITLE : PARALLEL NON-ITERATIVE METHOD
OF DETERMINING AND CORRECTING
IMAGE SKEW

APPLICATION NO. : 10/040,810

FILED : January 7, 2002

CONFIRMATION NO. : 2885

EXAMINER : Yosef Kassa

ART UNIT : 2625

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE : July 28, 2005

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. : A1090-US-NP
XERZ 2 00430


CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.10

"Express Mail" Mailing Label Number: EV 702958482 US

Date of Deposit: August 15, 2005

I hereby certify that the attached Fee(s) Transmittal Form, Issue Fee, Publication Fee, Response to Statement of Reasons for Allowance and "Fee Address" Indication Form are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.


- Karen M. Forsyth
(Typed or Printed Name of Sender)

 8-15-05
Signature Date

Express Mail® Mailing Label Number:
Date of Deposit:

EV 70298482 US
August 15, 2005

I hereby certify that this paper, and all documents indicated therein as being attached are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Mail Stop Issue Fee, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



Karen M. Forsyth

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

INVENTOR(S) : Stuart A. Schweid

TITLE : PARALLEL NON-ITERATIVE
METHOD OF DETERMINING AND
CORRECTING IMAGE SKEW

APPLICATION NO. : 10/040,810

FILED : January 7, 2002

CONFIRMATION NO. : 2885

EXAMINER : Yosef Kassa

ART UNIT : 2625

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE : July 28, 2005

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. : A1090-US-NP
XERZ 2 00430

RESPONSE TO STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Mail Stop Issue Fee

Dear Sir:

Applicant gratefully acknowledges the indication as to the allowance of the present application.

However, applicant respectfully submits the Statements of Reasons for Allowance are, in and of themselves, inappropriate. It is noted that the reasons for allowance may be set forth in instances in which " . . . the Examiner believes that the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear his or her reasons for allowing a

claim or claims." (37 CFR §1.104(e)(2004)). In the present case, applicants believe the record as a whole does make the reasons for allowance clear and, therefore, no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, the applicant does not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance.


Specifically, it has been indicated that the claims are allowed by importing interpretations into the claims in relation to the prior art that results in a potential imprecise and/or inaccurate understanding of the reasons. This places an unwarranted interpretation upon the claims. Such a characterization of the claims does not properly take into account applicants' claimed invention as reflected in the specification and the applicants' responses to the Examiner's office actions.

Therefore, while applicant believes the claims are allowable, applicant does not acquiesce that patentability resides in only the features, exactly as expressed in the claims, nor that each feature is required for patentability.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN,
MINNICH & McKEE, LLP

August 15, 2005
Date



Mark S. Svat, Esq.
Reg. No. 34,261
1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579
(216) 861-5582